

*Fundamentals of*


# Database Systems

5<sup>th</sup> Edition

Elmasri / Navathe

# Chapter 2

## Enhanced Entity-Relationship (EER) Modeling



5th Edition

Elmasri / Navathe

# Chapter Outline

- EER stands for Enhanced ER or Extended ER
- EER Model Concepts
  - Includes all modeling concepts of basic ER
  - Additional concepts:
    - subclasses/superclasses
    - specialization/generalization
    - categories (UNION types)
    - attribute and relationship inheritance
  - These are fundamental to conceptual modeling
- The additional EER concepts are used to model applications more completely and more accurately
  - EER includes some **object-oriented concepts**, such as **inheritance**


# Subclasses and Superclasses (1)

- EER diagrams **Enhanced/Extended ER diagrams** to represent these additional subgroupings, called *subclasses* or *subtypes*
- An entity type may have additional meaningful subgroupings of its entities
  - Example: **EMPLOYEE** may be further grouped into:
    - **SECRETARY, ENGINEER, TECHNICIAN, ...**
      - Based on the **EMPLOYEE's Job**
    - **MANAGER**
      - **EMPLOYEEs who are managers**
    - **SALARIED\_EMPLOYEE, HOURLY\_EMPLOYEE**
      - Based on the **EMPLOYEE's method of pay**

# Subclasses and Superclasses

**Figure 4.1**

EER diagram notation to represent subclasses and specialization.



Three specializations of EMPLOYEE:  
 {SECRETARY, TECHNICIAN, ENGINEER}  
 {MANAGER}  
 {HOURLY\_EMPLOYEE, SALARIED\_EMPLOYEE}

# Subclasses and Superclasses (2)


- Each of these subgroupings is a subset of EMPLOYEE entities
- Each is called a subclass of EMPLOYEE
- EMPLOYEE is the superclass for each of these subclasses
- These are called superclass/subclass relationships:
  - EMPLOYEE/SECRETARY
  - EMPLOYEE/TECHNICIAN
  - EMPLOYEE/MANAGER
  - ...

# Subclasses and Superclasses (3)

- These are also called **IS-A relationships**
  - SECRETARY IS-A EMPLOYEE, TECHNICIAN IS-A EMPLOYEE, ....
- Note: An entity that is member of a subclass represents the same real-world entity as some member of the superclass:
  - The subclass member is the same entity in a *distinct specific role*
  - An entity cannot exist in the database merely by being a member of a subclass; **it must also be a member of the superclass**
  - **A member of the superclass can be optionally included** as a member of any number of its subclasses

# Subclasses and Superclasses (4)

- Examples:
  - A salaried employee who is also an engineer belongs to the two subclasses:
    - ENGINEER, and
    - SALARIED\_EMPLOYEE



**Figure 4.1**  
EER diagram notation to represent subclasses and specialization.

# Subclasses and Superclasses (4)

## Examples:

- A salaried employee who is also an engineering manager belongs to the three subclasses:

- MANAGER,
- ENGINEER, and
- SALARIED\_EMPLOYEE

- It is not necessary that every entity in a superclass be a member of some subclass




Figure 4.1

EER diagram notation to represent subclasses and specialization.


# Specialization (1)

- Specialization is the process of **defining a set of subclasses of a superclass**
- The set of subclasses is based upon some **distinguishing characteristics** of the entities in the superclass
  - Example: {SECRETARY, ENGINEER, TECHNICIAN} is a specialization of EMPLOYEE based upon *job type*.
    - **May have several specializations** of the same superclass

# Representing Specialization in EER Diagrams

**Figure 4.4**

EER diagram notation for an attribute-defined specialization on Job\_type.



# Attribute Inheritance in Superclass / Subclass Relationships


- An entity that is member of a subclass *inherits*
  - All attributes of the entity as a member of the superclass
  - All relationships of the entity as a member of the superclass
- Example:
  - In the previous slide, SECRETARY (as well as TECHNICIAN and ENGINEER) inherit the attributes Name, SSN, ..., from EMPLOYEE
  - Every SECRETARY entity will have values for the inherited attributes

# Specialization (2)

- Example: Another specialization of EMPLOYEE based on *method of pay* is {SALARIED\_EMPLOYEE, HOURLY\_EMPLOYEE}.
  - Superclass/subclass relationships and specialization can be diagrammatically represented in EER diagrams
  - Attributes of a subclass are called *specific* or *local* attributes.
    - For example, the attribute TypingSpeed of SECRETARY
  - The subclass can also participate in *specific relationship* types.
    - For example, a relationship BELONGS\_TO of HOURLY\_EMPLOYEE

# Specialization (3)

**Figure 4.1**  
EER diagram notation to represent subclasses and specialization.




Three specializations of EMPLOYEE:  
{SECRETARY, TECHNICIAN, ENGINEER}  
{MANAGER}  
{HOURLY\_EMPLOYEE, SALARIED\_EMPLOYEE}

# Generalization

- Generalization is the **reverse of the specialization process**
- Several classes with **common features are generalized into a superclass;**
  - original classes become its subclasses
- **Example: CAR, TRUCK generalized into VEHICLE;**
  - both CAR, TRUCK become subclasses of the superclass VEHICLE.
  - We can view **{CAR, TRUCK}** as a specialization of **VEHICLE**
  - Alternatively, we can view VEHICLE as a generalization of CAR and TRUCK

# Generalization (2)



**Figure 4.3**  
Generalization. (a) Two entity types, CAR and TRUCK.  
(b) Generalizing CAR and TRUCK into the superclass VEHICLE.

# Generalization and Specialization (1)

- Diagrammatic notation are sometimes used to distinguish between generalization and specialization
  - **Arrow pointing to the generalized superclass** represents a generalization
  - **Arrows pointing to the specialized subclasses** represent a specialization
  - *We do not use* this notation because it is often subjective as to which process is more appropriate for a particular situation
  - We advocate not drawing any arrows

# Generalization and Specialization (2)

- Data Modeling with Specialization and Generalization
  - A superclass or subclass represents a collection (or set or grouping) of entities
  - It also represents a particular *type of entity*
  - Shown in rectangles in EER diagrams (as are entity types)
  - We can call all entity types (and their corresponding collections) **classes**, whether they are entity types, superclasses, or subclasses

# Constraints on Specialization and Generalization (1)


- If we can determine exactly those entities that will become members of each subclass by a condition, the subclasses are called **predicate-defined (or condition-defined) subclasses**
  - Condition is a constraint that determines subclass members
  - Display a predicate-defined subclass by **writing the predicate condition next to the line attaching the subclass to its superclass**

# Constraints on Specialization and Generalization (2)

- If all subclasses in a specialization have membership condition on same attribute of the superclass, specialization is called an attribute-defined specialization
  - Attribute is called the defining attribute of the specialization
  - Example: JobType is the defining attribute of the specialization {SECRETARY, TECHNICIAN, ENGINEER} of EMPLOYEE
- If no condition determines membership, the subclass is called user-defined
  - Membership in a subclass is determined by the database users by applying an operation to add an entity to the subclass
  - Membership in the subclass is specified individually for each entity in the superclass by the user

# Displaying an attribute-defined specialization in EER diagrams

**Figure 4.4**  
EER diagram notation  
for an attribute-  
defined specialization  
on Job\_type.



# Constraints on Specialization and Generalization (3)

- Two basic constraints can apply to a specialization/generalization:
  - Disjointness Constraint:
  - Completeness Constraint:


# Constraints on Specialization and Generalization (4)

- Disjointness Constraint:
  - Specifies that the subclasses of the specialization must be *disjoint*:
    - an entity can be a **member of at most one** of the subclasses of the specialization
  - Specified by **d** in EER diagram
  - If not disjoint, specialization is *overlapping*:
    - that is the same entity may be a **member of more than one subclass** of the specialization
  - Specified by **o** in EER diagram


# Example of disjoint partial Specialization

**Figure 4.4**

EER diagram notation for an attribute-defined specialization on Job\_type.



# Example of overlapping total Specialization



**Figure 4.5**  
EER diagram notation  
for an overlapping  
(nondisjoint)  
specialization.

# Constraints on Specialization and Generalization (5)


- **Completeness Constraint:**
  - *Total* specifies that **every entity** in the superclass **must be a member of some subclass** in the specialization/generalization
  - Shown in EER diagrams by a **double line**
  - *Partial* allows an **entity not to belong to** any of the subclasses
  - Shown in EER diagrams by a **single line**

# Constraints on Specialization and Generalization (6)

- Hence, we have four types of specialization/generalization:
  - Disjoint, total
  - Disjoint, partial
  - Overlapping, total
  - Overlapping, partial
- Note: **Generalization usually is total** because the superclass is derived from the subclasses.

# Convert into EER


## ER DIAGRAM FOR A BANK DATABASE



# Specialization/Generalization Hierarchies, Lattices & Shared Subclasses (1)

- A subclass may itself have **further subclasses** specified on it
  - forms a hierarchy or a lattice
- ***Hierarchy*** has a constraint that every subclass has **only one superclass** (called ***single inheritance***); this is basically a ***tree structure***
- In a ***lattice***, a subclass can be subclass of **more than one superclass** (called ***multiple inheritance***)

## Shared Subclass “Engineering\_Manager”



**Figure 4.6**

A specialization lattice with shared subclass ENGINEERING\_MANAGER.


# Specialization/Generalization Hierarchies, Lattices & Shared Subclasses (2)

- In a lattice or hierarchy, a **subclass inherits attributes** not only of its **direct superclass**, but also of all its **predecessor superclasses**
- A subclass with more than one superclass is called a **shared subclass (multiple inheritance)**
- Can have:
  - *specialization* hierarchies or lattices, or
  - *generalization* hierarchies or lattices,
  - depending on how they were *derived*

# Specialization/Generalization Hierarchies, Lattices & Shared Subclasses (3)

- In *specialization*, start with an entity type and then define subclasses of the entity type by successive specialization
  - called a *top down conceptual refinement* process
- In *generalization*, start with many entity types and generalize those that have common properties
  - Called a *bottom up conceptual synthesis* process
- In practice, a *combination of both processes* is usually employed

# Specialization / Generalization Lattice Example (UNIVERSITY)




**Figure 4.7**

A specialization lattice with multiple inheritance for a UNIVERSITY database.

# Categories (UNION TYPES) (1)

- A shared subclass is a subclass in:
  - *more than one* distinct superclass/subclass relationships
  - shared subclass leads to multiple inheritance
- In some cases, we need to model *a single superclass/subclass relationship* with *more than one* superclass
- Superclasses can represent different entity types
- Such a subclass is called a category or UNION TYPE

# Two categories (UNION types): OWNER, REGISTERED\_VEHICLE



# Categories (UNION TYPES) (2)

- Example: In a database for vehicle registration, a vehicle owner can be a PERSON, a BANK (holding a lien on a vehicle) or a COMPANY.
  - A *category* (UNION type) called OWNER is created to represent a subset of the *union* of the three superclasses COMPANY, BANK, and PERSON
  - A category member must exist in **only one of** its superclasses
- In *shared subclass*:
  - subset of the *intersection* of its superclasses
  - shared subclass member must exist in **all** of its superclasses

# Union/Category(points)

- Inheritance in the case of categorisation corresponds to an entity inheriting only the attributes and relationships of that superclass it is a member of (**selective inheritance**)
- A categorisation can be **total or partial**
- Note: **A total categorisation can also be represented as a specialization/generalisation**

# Difference between shared subclass and union





Figure 4.6

A specialization lattice with shared subclass ENGINEERING\_MANAGER.



# Aggregation

- One limitation of the E-R model is that it **cannot express relationships among relationships**
- Consider the **ternary relationship proj\_guide** between an **instructor, student and project**
- **Requirement:** each instructor guiding a student on a project is required to file a monthly **evaluation report.**
  - create a quaternary (4-way) relationship set *eval\_for* between *instructor, student, project and evaluation.*

# Aggregation (cont..)




Figure 7.22 E-R diagram with redundant relationships.

# Aggregation (cont..)

- Relationship `proj_guide` and `eval_for` represent overlapping information
  - Every `eval_for` relationship corresponds to `proj_guide`
  - However, some `proj_guide` may not corresponds to any `eval_for` relationship
    - So we can't discard `proj_guide` relationship
- Eliminate this redundancy via aggregation

# Aggregation (cont..)

- Without introducing redundancy, the following diagram represents:
  - An instructor guiding a particular project to a particular student
  - An instructor, project , student combination may have an evaluation report
- **Aggregation is an abstraction through which relationships are treated as higher-level entities**
- Thus, for example, regard the relationship set *proj\_guide* (relating the entity sets *instructor*, *student*, and *project*) as a higher-level entity set called *proj\_guide*.

# Aggregation (cont..)






Figure 7.23 E-R diagram with aggregation.

# Aggregation Examples




**Fig1: Example1**



**Fig2: Example 2**

# Aggregation Example 2




**Figure 8.11**


Aggregation. (a) The relationship type **INTERVIEW**. (b) Including **JOB\_OFFER** in a ternary relationship type (incorrect). (c) Having the **RESULTS\_IN** relationship participate in other relationships (not allowed in ER). (d) Using aggregation and a composite (molecular) object (generally not allowed in ER but allowed by some modeling tools). (e) Correct representation in ER.

# Aggregation Example 2

(d)



(e)



# Procedure to design an EER model:

- Identify the entity types
- Identify the relationship types and assert their degree
- Assert cardinality ratios and participation constraints
- Identify the attributes and assert whether they are simple/composite; single/multiple valued;
- Link each attribute type with an entity type or a relationship type
- Denote the key attribute type(s) of each entity type
- Identify weak entity types and their partial keys
- Apply abstractions such as generalisation/specialisation, categorisation and aggregation
- Assert the characteristics of each abstraction: disjoint/overlapping, total/partial
- Document semantics that cannot be represented in the (E)ER schema as separate "business rules"

# Formal Definitions of EER Model (1)

- Class C:
  - A type of entity with a corresponding set of entities:
    - could be entity type, subclass, superclass, or category
- Note: The definition of *relationship type* in ER/EER should have 'entity type' replaced with 'class' to allow relationships among classes in general
- Subclass S is a class whose:
  - Type inherits all the attributes and relationship of a class C
  - Set of entities must always be a subset of the set of entities of the other class C
    - $S \subseteq C$
  - C is called the superclass of S
  - A superclass/subclass relationship exists between S and C

# Formal Definitions of EER Model (2)

- Specialization Z:  $Z = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n\}$  is a set of subclasses with same superclass G; hence,  $G/S_i$  is a superclass relationship for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .
  - G is called a generalization of the subclasses  $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n\}$
  - Z is total if we always have:
    - $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_n = G$ ;
    - Otherwise, Z is partial.
  - Z is disjoint if we always have:
    - $S_i \cap S_j$  empty-set for  $i \neq j$ ;
  - Otherwise, Z is overlapping.

# Formal Definitions of EER Model (3)

- Subclass  $S$  of  $C$  is predicate defined if predicate (condition)  $p$  on attributes of  $C$  is used to specify membership in  $S$ ;
  - that is,  $S = C[p]$ , where  $C[p]$  is the set of entities in  $C$  that satisfy condition  $p$
- A subclass not defined by a predicate is called user-defined
- Attribute-defined specialization: if a predicate  $A = c_i$  (where  $A$  is an attribute of  $G$  and  $c_i$  is a constant value from the domain of  $A$ ) is used to specify membership in each subclass  $S_i$  in  $Z$ 
  - Note: If  $c_i \neq c_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , and  $A$  is single-valued, then the attribute-defined specialization will be disjoint.


# Formal Definitions of EER Model (4)

- Category or UNION type T
  - A class that is a subset of the *union* of n defining superclasses  
 $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n, n > 1$ :
    - $T \subseteq (D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \dots \cup D_n)$
  - Can have a predicate  $p_i$  on the attributes of  $D_i$  to specify entities of  $D_i$  that are members of T.
  - If a predicate is specified on every  $D_i$ :  $T = (D_1[p_1] \cup D_2[p_2] \cup \dots \cup D_n[p_n])$

# Alternative diagrammatic notations


- ER/EER diagrams are a specific notation for displaying the concepts of the model diagrammatically
- DB design tools use many alternative notations for the same or similar concepts
- One popular alternative notation uses *UML class diagrams*
- see next slides for UML class diagrams and other alternative notations

# UML Example for Displaying Specialization / Generalization



**Figure 4.10**  
A UML class diagram corresponding to the EER diagram in Figure 4.7, illustrating UML notation for specialization/generalization.

# Alternative Diagrammatic Notations



**Figure A.1** Alternative notations. (a) Symbols for entity type/class, attribute, and relationship. (b) Displaying attributes. (c) Displaying cardinality ratios. (d) Various (min, max) notations. (e) Notations for displaying specialization/generalization.

# General Conceptual Modeling Concepts

- **GENERAL DATA ABSTRACTIONS**
  - CLASSIFICATION and INSTANTIATION
  - AGGREGATION and ASSOCIATION (relationships)
  - GENERALIZATION and SPECIALIZATION
  - IDENTIFICATION
- **CONSTRAINTS**
  - CARDINALITY (Min and Max)
  - COVERAGE (Total vs. Partial, and Exclusive (disjoint) vs. Overlapping)

# References

- Navathe
- Korth